The MEG experiment at PSI: search for the $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$ decay

Giovanni Signorelli
INFN Pisa and Pisa University (Italy)
for the MEG collaboration

NEW TRENDS IN HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

Yalta, Ukraine - September 16-23, 2006

Univ. of Tokyo
Y. Hisamatsu, T. Iwamoto, S. Mihara, **T. Mori**, H. Natori, H. Nishiguchi, W. Ootani, R. Sawada, S. Yamashita, Y. Uchiyama
KEK
T. Haruyama, K. Kasami, A. Maki, Y. Makida, A. Yamamoto
Waseda Univ.
T. Doke, J. Kikuchi, S. Suzuki, K. Terasawa

INFN and University of Pisa
A. Baldini, C. Bemporad, F. Cei, M. Grassi, D. Nicolò, A. Papa, R. Pazzi, F. Sergiampietri, G. Signorelli
INFN and Univ. of Genova
S. Dussoni, F. Gatti, R. Valle
INFN and Univ. of Pavia
G. Boca, P. W. Cattaneo, G. Cecchet, A. De Bari, R. Nardò, M. Rossella
INFN and Univ. of Roma I
A. Barchiesi, D. Zanello
INFN and Univ. of Lecce
M. Panareo

Paul Scherrer Institute
J. Egger, M. Hildebrandt, P.-R. Kettle, **S. Ritt**, M. Schneebeli

BINP Novosibirsk
L. M. Barkov, A. A. Grebenuk, D. N. Grigoriev, B. I. Khazin, N. M. Ryskulov
JINR Dubna
A. Korenchenko, N. Kravchuk, A. Moiseenko, D. Mzavia

Univ. of California, Irvine
W. Molzon, M. Hebert, P. Huwe, J. Perry, V. Tumakov, F. Xiao, S. Yamada

Outline

- Physics motivation for a $\mu \to e\gamma$ experiment
- The $\mu \to e\gamma$ decay
- The detector
    - Beam line & target
    - Spectrometer
    - Timing Counter
    - LXe calorimeter
    - Calibrations
    - Electronics
- Status
- Future

The SM. And beyond...

- The Standard Model is made of particles + interactions + symmetries
  - Phyisics symmetries (Lorentz, Poincare'...)
  - Gauge symmetries (charge, chirality)
  - Accidental symmetries

B	L	L_i
baryon number	lepton number	lepton family number
$p \to e^- \pi^0$	ν masses	$\mu \to e\gamma$

- These symmetries are called accidental because there is no general rule that imposes them - they just "happen" to be in the SM
- The research for the failure of one of these symmetries could shed new light on particle physics
- Three complementary searches to probe the Standard Model.

The μ→eγ decay

- The μ→eγ decay is forbidden in the SM because of the (accidental) conservation of lepton family numbers
- The introduction of neutrino masses and mixings induces μ→eγ radiatively, but at a negligible level



$$\Gamma(\mu \to e\gamma) \approx \underbrace{\frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3}}_{\mu-\text{decay}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right)}_{\gamma-\text{vertex}} \underbrace{\sin^2 2\theta \sin^2\left(\frac{1.27\Delta m^2}{M_W^2}\right)}_{\nu-\text{oscillation}}$$

$$\approx 10^{-53}$$

- All SM extensions enhance the rate through mixing in the high energy sector of the theory

For instance... predictions



R. Barbieri et al.,Nucl. Phys. B445(1995) 215

J.Hisano et al.,Phys. Lett. B391 (1997) 341

R. Ciafaloni, A. Romanino, A. Strumia, Nucl. Phys. B458 (1996)

J. Hisano, N. Nomura, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999)

- SUSY SU(5) predictions: LFV induced by finite slepton mixing through radiative corrections. The mixing could be large due to the top-quark mass at a level of 10^{-12} - 10^{-15}
- SO(10) predicts even larger BR:
    - m(τ)/m(μ) enhancement
- Models with right-handed neutrinos also predict large BR
- ⇒ clear evidence for physics beyond the SM.



Historical perspective









Each improvement linked to an improvement in the technology

$$N_{\rm sig} = R_\mu T \frac{\Delta\Omega}{4\pi} \epsilon_\gamma \epsilon_e \epsilon_{\rm cut} B_{\mu\to e\gamma}$$

Signal and Background

"Signal"





"Prompt"



$$\mu \to e\bar{\nu}\nu\gamma$$

"Accidental"



$$e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma$$
$$\mu \to e\bar{\nu}\nu\gamma$$
$$e\mathcal{N} \to e\mathcal{N}\gamma$$

$$\mu \to e\bar{\nu}\nu$$

$$B_{\mathrm{prompt}} \approx 0.1 \times B_{\mathrm{acc}}$$

$$B_{\mathrm{acc}} \approx R_\mu \Delta E_e \Delta E_\gamma^2 \Delta\theta^2 \Delta t$$

The accidental background is dominant and it is determined by the experimental resolutions

Exp./Lab	Year	ΔEe/Ee (%)	ΔEγ /Eγ (%)	Δteγ (ns)	Δθeγ (mrad)	Stop rate (s^{-1})	Duty cyc. (%)	BR (90% CL)
SIN	1977	8.7	9.3	1.4	-	5×10^5	100	3.6×10^{-9}
TRIUMF	1977	10	8.7	6.7	-	2×10^5	100	1×10^{-9}
LANL	1979	8.8	8	1.9	37	2.4×10^5	6.4	1.7×10^{-10}
Crystal Box	1986	8	8	1.3	87	4×10^5	(6..9)	4.9×10^{-11}
MEGA	1999	1.2	4.5	1.6	17	2.5×10^8	(6..7)	1.2×10^{-11}
MEG	2006	0.8	4	0.15	19	2.5×10^7	100	1×10^{-13}

FWHM

MEG experimental method

Easy signal selection with μ^+ at rest

$\theta_{e\gamma} = 180°$



$E_e = E_\gamma = 52.8$ MeV



- Stopped beam of $>10^7$ μ /sec in a 150 μm target
- γ detection

  Liquid Xenon calorimeter based on the scintillation light

  - fast: 4 / 22 / 45 ns
  - high LY: ~ 0.8 * NaI
  - short X_0: 2.77 cm
- e^+ detection

  magnetic spectrometer composed by solenoidal magnet and drift chambers for momentum

  scintillation counters for timing

Beam line & target

πE5 beam line at PSI

Optimization of the beam elements:

- Muon momentum ~ 29 MeV/c
- Wien filter for μ/e separation
- Solenoid to couple beam and spectrometer (BTS)
- Degrader to reduce the momentum for a 150 μm target



Present results (1.8 mA):

• Rμ (total)	$1.3 \cdot 10^{8}$ μ+/s
• Rμ (after Triplet 2)	$9.4 \cdot 10^{7}$ μ+/s
• μ/e separation	11.8 cm (7.2 σ)
• Rμ (exp. on target)	$6.4 \cdot 10^{7}$ μ+/s
• μ spot (exp. on target)	$\sigma_V \approx \sigma_H \approx$ 10 mm





COBRA spectrometer

	Constant $|p|$ track	High p_T track
Uniform field		
CoBRa: Constant bending quick sweep away		

Non uniform magnetic field decreasing from the center to the periphery





Compensation coil for LXe calorimeter

$|\vec{B}| < 50\ G$





Positron Tracker





- 16 chambers radially aligned with 10° intervals
- 2 staggered arrays of drift cells
- 1 signal wire and 2 x 2 vernier cathode strips made of 15 μm kapton foils and 0.45 μm aluminum strips
- Chamber gas: He-C_2H_6 mixture

transverse coordinate (t drift)



longitudinal coordinate (charge division)

Measurements at Tokyo University:

$$\sigma_R = 93 \pm 10 \mu m$$

$$\sigma_Z = 425 \pm 7 \mu m$$

Drift chambers





- Full scale test in November
- Summary of Drift Chamber simulation

FWHM

$$\delta P_{e^+} / P_{e^+} = 0.7 \div 0.9\%$$

$$\delta\theta_{e^+} = 9 \div 12\, mrad$$

$$\delta x_{orig} = 2.1 \div 2.5\, mm$$



Timing Counter



- Two layers of scintillators:
  - Outer layer, read out by PMTs: timing measurement
  - Inner layer, read out with APDs at 90°: z-trigger
- Obtained goal σ_{time}~ 40 psec (100 ps FWHM)





Exp. application (*)	Counter size (cm) (T x W x L)	Scintillator	PMT	λ_{att} (cm)	σ_t(meas)	σ_t(exp)
G.D.Agostini	3x 15 x 100	NE114	XP2020	200	120	60
T. Tanimori	3 x 20 x 150	SCSN38	R1332	180	140	110
T. Sugitate	4 x 3.5 x 100	SCSN23	R1828	200	50	53
R.T. Gile	5 x 10 x 280	BC408	XP2020	270	110	137
TOPAZ	4.2 x 13 x 400	BC412	R1828	300	210	240
R. Stroynowski	2 x 3 x 300	SCSN38	XP2020	180	180	420
Belle	4 x 6 x 255	BC408	R6680	250	90	143
MEG	**4 x 4 x 90**	**BC404**	**R5924**	**270**	**38**	

Best existing TC

Timing Counter

- Two layers of scintillators:
  Outer layer, read out by PMTs: timing measurement
  Inner layer, read out with APDs at 90°: *z*-trigger
- Obtained goal σ_{time}~ 40 psec (100 ps FWHM)





Exp. application (*)	Counter size (cm) (T x W x L)	Scintillator	PMT	λ_{att} (cm)	σ_t(meas)	σ_t(exp)
G.D.Agostini	3x 15 x 100	NE114	XP2020	200	120	60
T. Tanimori	3 x 20 x 150	SCSN38	R1332	180	140	110
T. Sugitate	4 x 3.5 x 100	SCSN23	R1828	200	50	53
R.T. Gile	5 x 10 x 280	BC408	XP2020	270	110	137
TOPAZ	4.2 x 13 x 400	BC412	R1828	300	210	240
R. Stroynowski	2 x 3 x 300	SCSN38	XP2020	180	180	420
Belle	4 x 6 x 255	BC408	R6680	250	90	143
MEG	**4 x 4 x 90**	**BC404**	**R5924**	**270**	**38**	

Best existing TC

The calorimeter

- γ Energy, position, timing
- Homogeneous 0.8 m^3 volume of liquid Xe
  - 10 % solid angle
  - 65 < r < 112 cm
  - |cosθ| < 0.35 |φ| < 60°
- Only scintillation light
- Read by 848 PMT
  - 2" photo-multiplier tubes
  - Maximum coverage FF (6.2 cm cell)
  - Immersed in liquid Xe
  - Low temperature (165 K)
  - Quartz window (178 nm)
- Thin entrance wall
- Singularly applied HV
- Waveform digitizing @2 GHz
  - Pileup rejection



Xe properties

- Fast
  - $\tau_{singlet}$= 4.2 ns
  - $\tau_{triplet}$= 22 ns
  - τ_{recomb}= 45 ns
- Particle ID
  - LY alpha = 1.2 x LY gamma/e
- High LY (≈ NaI)
  - 40000 phe/MeV
- n = 1.65
- Z=54, ρ=2.95 g/cm^3 (X_o=2.7 cm), R_M=4.1 cm
- No self-absorption (λ_{Abs}=∞)



...in progress



The LXe calorimeter prototype

- A 100 liters, 240 PMTs large prototype was built and exensively tested to demonstrate the calorimeter performance
- α-sources and LEDs used for PMT calibrations and monitoring





LXe calorimeter R&D

- Energy resolution strongly depends on absorption. A long R&D to insure L(Abs)>3 m with a circulation/purification system
- Measurement of energy and timing resolution with high energy photons: 55 MeV photons from pion charge exchange reaction



$$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$$
$$\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$$

Lab Frame





Two tests in 2003 and 2004 demonstrated the calibration procedure and the resolutions



π⁻
LXe
NaI
γ
γ

- In the back-to-back raw spectrum we see the correlation
  - 83 MeV ⇔ 55 MeV
  - The 129 MeV line is visible in the NaI because Xe is sensitive to neutrons (9 MeV)



Resolutions @ 55 MeV

- Select negative pions in the beam
- 65 MeV < E(NaI) < 95 MeV
- Collimator cut (r < 4 cm)





Energy Resolution (FWHM)
(4.9 ± 0.4) %



Timing Resolution (FWHM)
100 ps

PMT with higher QE

Position dependence

- small FWHM residual dependence
- no significant peak shift
- The resolution is always better than 5% FWHM

4.8%	4.6%
4.5%	5.0%



MEG (LXe) calibrations

- A reliable result depend on a constant calibration and monitoring of the apparatus
  - alpha Sources (on wires and wall)
  - Proton accelerator $^{7}\mathrm{Li}(p,\gamma_{17.6})^{8}\mathrm{Be}$ design under way
  - Neutron generator $^{58}\mathrm{Ni}(n,\gamma_{9})^{59}\mathrm{Ni}$
  - Charge exchange reaction (Panofsky) $\pi^{-}p \rightarrow \pi^{0}n$, $\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- Calibration frequency is different





500 keV Cockroft-Walton

Alpha sources



- 4 W wires suspended inside the liquid xenon
  - unique to liquid
  - Po and Am sources
- QEs determined by comparison of alpha source signal in cold gaseous xenon and MC determined at a 10% level



ø 100 μm







First Ver. Second Ver. Final Ver.

Proton accelerator

- Positioned opposite to the muon beam
- Essentially two reactions

Reaction	*Resonance energy*	σ peak	γ-lines
Li(p,γ)Be	*440 keV*	5 mb	17.6 MeV, 14.6 MeV
B(p,γ)C	*163 keV*	$2\ 10^{-1}$ mb	4.4 MeV, 11.7 MeV, 16.1 MeV

- tested at Legnaro (Italy) van de Graaf with a large NaI











Neutron/Ni γ-line

- Reaction of thermal neutrons on Ni
- AmBe source inside a polyethylene/nickel sandwich (Cf)
- Tested with large NaI
- Will be placed behind the calorimeter







Trigger Electronics

- 100 MHz waveform digitizer on VME boards that perform online pedestal subtraction
- Uses :
  - γ energy
  - e^+ - γ time coincidence
  - e^+ - γ collinearity
- Built on a FADC-FPGA architecture
- More performing algorithms could be implemented

❖ Beam rate 10^8 s^{-1}

❖ Fast LXe energy sum > 45MeV $2{\times}10^3$ s^{-1}

gamma interaction point (PMT of max charge)

e^+ hit point in timing counter

❖ time correlation γ – e^+ 200 s^{-1}

❖ angular correlation γ – e^+ 20 s^{-1}



- Prototype system has been successfully tested on the LP
- Design of the final system is in progress
- π^0 data
- Charge spectrum
- Only 32 PMT



Readout electronics

2.5 GHz Waveform digitization for all channels



DRS2 chip (Domino Ring Sampler)

- Custom sampling chip designed at PSI
- 2.5 GHz sampling speed @ 40 ps timing resolution
- Sampling depth 1024 bins for 8 channels/chip
- Data taken in charge exchange test to study pile-up rejection algorithms



Original



MEG sensitivity

- Computation of the sensitivity based on the measured resolutions

FWHM E_γ/E_γ	5 %
FWHM E_e/E_e	0.9 %
$\delta t_{e\gamma}$	105 ps
$\delta\theta_{e\gamma}$	23 mrad

- The resolutions determine the accidental background
- For a given background we choose R(μ) and running time.
  - BG = 0.5 events
  - R(μ) = 1.2 10^7 μ/sec
  - T = 3.5 10^7 sec (2 years running time)
  - ⇒SES = 6 10^{-14} (1.7 10^{13} muons observed)
- NO candidate ⇒ BR(μ→eγ) < 1.2 10^{-13} @ 90% CL
- Unlikely fluctuation (4 events) ⇒ BR(μ→eγ) ≈ 2.4 10^{-13}

Summary and Time Scale

- The experiment may provide a clean indication of New Physics or a strong constrain on SM extensions
- Measurements and detector simulation make us confident that we can reach the SES of 6×10^{-14} to $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ (BR = $1.2\ 10^{-13}$)
- Final prototypes af (almost) all subdetectors were measured
  - Liquid Xe calorimeter Large Prototype
  - Timing counters
- Detector assembly during end 2006 engineering run end 2006 - beg. 2007





Plans

- Data taking from 2007 on to reach 10^{-13} sensitivity (90% CL)
- Obtain a "significant" result before the LHC era
- Eventual reach 10^{-14} during LHC era