<u>MEG実験における陽電子スペクトロメータのコミッショニング</u>

西口 創 @ 東京大学

東大素セ、PSI^A、チューリッヒ連邦工科大^B 内山雄祐、大谷航、澤田龍、久松康子、J.Egger^A、M.Hildebrandt^A、 P.-R.Kettle^A、S.Ritt^A、M.Schneebeli^B、他 MEG Collaborations JPS meeting , 2007 spring Tokyo Metropolitan University 2007.03.25-28

contents

- Section
- Positron Spectrometer
- Commissioning Run 2006
- Plan in 2007 and Future
- Conclusions



MEG experiment

- Search experiment for " $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ "
 - " $\mu \rightarrow e\nu\nu$ " (Michel decay) ~ 100% in SM
 - SUSY-GUT models predict higher branching ratio $Br(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) = 10^{-11} \sim 10^{-15}$
 - $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay is the most sensitive, exploring GUT/seesaw via SUSY
 - New experiment, MEG, with a sensitivity of Br = 10⁻¹³ will start soon at Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI) in Switzerland
- Detector concept
 - The most intense DC muon beam @ PSI
 - Liquid xenon photon detector
 - Positron spectrometer with gradient magnetic field
 - "Light" drift chamber system and highaccuracy timing measuring counter



- MEG detector -

H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

Requirements for the e⁺ spectrometer

Very high counting rate

- the most intense DC muon beam in the world
- muon stopping rate : 2.5x10⁷ ~ 10⁸ muon/sec
- <u>Good momentum/position/timing resolution</u>
- aiming excellent sensitivity
- 0.4% momentum resolution, 300µm position resolution for both direction(r,z) and 50psec timing resolution

Low-mass material

- 52.8MeV/c positron can be affected by multiple Coulomb scattering easily
- γ background generation should be suppressed as much as possible



MEG positron spectrometer



Solenoid

superconducting solenoid gradient B-field (0.5-1.7 T) very thin conductor and cryostat wall (0.2X₀)

Drift Chamber

segmented radially (16 sectors) helium:ethane (50:50) opened-frame very thin cathode foil with pads

>> see later slide in detail

Timing Counter

2-layers of scintillators

- scintillator bars (outer)
- scintillator fibres (inner)

Obtained goal $\sigma_T \sim 40$ psec

>> see next talk

H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University,



COnstant Bending RAdius solenoid

H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 2

COnstant Bending RAdius solenoid



COnstant Bending RAdius solenoid



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University,

COnstant Bending RAdius solenoid



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University,

COnstant Bending RAdius solenoid



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

COnstant Bending RAdius solenoid



H. Nishiguchi et al.,

JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

COnstant Bending RAdius solenoid



H. Nishiguchi et al.,

JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

- e⁺ spectrometer - 7

Drift Chamber System



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University,

Drift Chamber module



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 23

Commissioning Run 2006



purposes

- Drift Chamber & Timing Counter operation in COBRA magnet
 - HV conditioning for DC and TC
 - pressure control for DC
- find beam settings with various muon beam rates
- operate detectors with the full intensity beam
- test the trigger & DAQ electronics
- perform calibrations of drift chamber and timing counter
- estimate resolutions

setup and schedule



- COBRA magnet operated with final condition
- 8 DCs (out of 16), all TC bars installed
- 6 beam settings (primary proton = 1.6mA);
 - 3% intensity : 1.8x10⁶ /sec
 - 10% intensity : 6.0x10⁶ /sec
 - 25% intensity : 1.5x10⁷ /sec
 - 50% intensity : 3.0x10⁷ /sec
 - 75% intensity : 4.5x10⁷ /sec
 - full intensity : 6.0x10⁷ /sec
- November : detector setup w/o magnet
- December 1-2nd week : beam commissioning
- - 3rd week : detector conditioning with B-field
- 4th week : DAQ with muon beam

H. Nishiguchi et al.,

JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

DC monitoring

- anode current has been monitored during whole beam time
- this can be good DC stability monitor and also "beam current monitor"



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University,

- commissioning run 2006 - 13

pressure control system

- very thin foil and opened-frame enclose gas volume
- extremely precise gas pressure control system is necessary to maintain cell spacing
- $\Delta p b/w DC and COBRA : <1Pa (0.1mm deformation)$
- $\Delta p b/w COBRA$ and external : <10Pa
- DC gas flow : 0.1 lpm, He gas flow : 4 lpm

DC Volume

COBRA gas

volume

DC Volume

Normally open vavle

Normally closed vavle

aux He in MFC



H. Nishiguchi et al.,

aux He in valve

DC in valve (ethane)

normal He in valve

×

×

normal He in MFC

DC in MFC (ethane)

DC in MFC (He)

Temprature sensor

Mass flow controller

DC in valve (He)

anifold

rinlet

chambei

Drift

¥

×

Т

JPS meeting 2007 spring,

aux He out MFC

He relief valve 1

aux He out valve

DC relief valve 1

utput manifold

rift chamb∈

т

т

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

25-28/Mar./2007

trigger and DAQ electronics

- for this commissioning, event was triggered by timing counter only
- DC event significance was not so good...
- all data is recorded in waveform sampler (500MHz sampling for DC and 2GHz sampling for TC respectively)



H. Nishiguchi et al., J

JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

- commissioning run 2006 - 15

DC event significance in TC trigger



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

DC data 1st look

• 3 multi-hit example (full intensity, innermost wire)



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

- commissioning run 2006 - 17 hit rate analysis, comparison with MC



- 2-D hit map is created by the charge division at both ends of wire
- difference b/w 2 layer comes from HV difference (efficiency is different)
- good agreement with MC
- hit rate shows COBRA graded field effect

- commissioning run 2006 - 18 hit rate analysis, comparison with MC - cont'd. -



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, Tokyo Metropolitan University,

plan in 2007

- We are rebuilding the all DC to fix some problems.
- Many small modifications are performed ; feedbacks from the Commissioning Run 2006,
 - HV supply/divider (to suppress the noise)
 - anode voltage tracer print circuit (to stabilize the anode current)
 - gas tightening
- DC production will be completed in mid.-June and the e+ spectrometer will be ready in this summer.
- Data analysis of the commissioning run 2006 is progressing now
 - will be tracked by using available wires data
 - will be used for the calibration and estimate the resolutions

conclusions

- MEG will start soon at Paul Scherrer Institut
- Special superconducting solenoidal magnet which has gradient magnetic field allows a background of lower energy Michel positrons to be swept away more effectively from the fiducial tracking volume.
- In order to detect low-momentum positrons (52.8MeV/c) with a high degree of accuracy with very high counting rate, ultimate lower-mass drift chamber is necessary.
- The 1st e⁺ Spectrometer Commissioning RUN has been performed in December 2006.
- Detectors (DC/TC) could be coped up to the full intensity (7x10⁷ muons/sec).
- According to the hit rate analysis, the benefit of the COBRA field is confirmed.
- more detailed analysis, tracking, calibration e.t.c. is progressing now.
- Assembly and installation of MEG e⁺ spectrometer will be completed soon.

backup slides

$\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ Signal and Background

Signal



- $E_e = E_Y = m_{\mu}/2 = 52.8 MeV$
- θ = 180deg.
- time coincidence

Clear 2-body kinematics

use ${}_{\mu^{+}}$ to avoid capture inside stopping target Background dominated by Accidental overlap

- lower muon beam rate is better
- DC muon beam is the best

- Background
 - radiative muon decay



accidental overlap



Tokyo Metropolitan University,

DC characteristics simulation (Garfiled)



H. Nishiguchi et al.,

JPS meeting 2007 spring,

Tokyo Metropolitan University,

Cathode pads with vernier pattern



- rough estimation by charge division method (~1cm)
- using the ratio of induced charge on each 4 strips, we can get the z-position with 300µm accuracy
- drop-off in readout channel and electronics



H. Nishiguchi et al., JPS meeting 2007 spring, To

Tokyo Metropolitan University,